UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,010	11/25/2003	Aaron Berez	2960/116	7525
	7590 07/01/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP		EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER	STREET		PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/724,010	BEREZ ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pedro Philogene	3733				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Pedro Philogene</u> .	(3) <u>Daniel Steines</u> .					
(2) <u>Alexander Smolenski</u> .	(4) <u>Philipp Lang</u> .					
Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: A brief demonstration to show how the device works.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26 and 48</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Rosa et al. (7,141,053).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant' arguments did not overcome the rejection in the last office action. However, applicant's proposed amendment to the claims seem to ovecome the rejection in the last office action. Applicant will send in formal amendment and the axaminer will conduct an update search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 37 Examiner's signature if requi					